SLO 2= Use ethical reasoning skills to resolve dilemmas currently faced by journalists.

Responsibility = JOU 4700 Problems and Ethics in Journalism

Assessment: Essay exam distinguishing between moral relativism and ethical reasoning as applied to current journalism dilemma.

Criteria	Excellent	Proficient	Basic	Inadequate
Truth, honesty and accuracy	Identifies and refutes counter arguments using well-researched data and sound science.	Responds to contradictory arguments citing credible sources.	Position is based on facts that are cited from reputable sources.	Facts are presented in support of arguments, but not cited or attributed.
	science.			Factual errors are present.
	Sources are meticulously cited.	Position is supported by at least two credible sources meticulously cited.	Position presented is factual, and supported by at least one credible source.	Key names, locations or other details relevant to the subject are misspelled.
	Intellectual property and copyright laws are carefully followed.	Logical structure is properly followed.	Sources are meticulously cited or credited, including images and photographs.	Logical structure is ignored. Clarity is absent.
	Logical structure is properly followed.	Clarity exists.	Does not violate free use or copyright laws.	
	Clarity exists.		Logical structure is understood.	
			Clarity is weak.	
Fairness	Position represents values of organization and takes into account the	Product upholds the standards of journalism in terms of representing	Opposing perspectives are presented in a dismissive manner and/or not credibly	Different or counter perspectives not considered or addressed

The rubric below will be used to assess essay exam in JOU 4700 Problems and Ethics in Journalism

values of key	relevant	refuted.	
publics.	perspectives.		
	Consequences of position for key points have been considered and addressed.		