

MMC 5435 Messaging Strategy and the Centrality of the Value Proposition Fall 2017 3 Credit Hours

Instructor Information

Gregory Hamilton Email: <u>g.hamilton@jou.ufl.edu</u> Office Hours: by appointment Course Website: <u>http://elearning.ufl.edu/</u>

Course Access Information

This course is accessible within the Canvas Learning Management System (UF e-Learning). To access it, go to <u>http://lss.at.ufl.edu</u>. Click the blue e-Learning button. Login with your GatorLink account. Your course will be listed in the Courses Menu within the navigation located on the left-hand side of the page. You may have to click the "All Courses" link at the bottom of this section depending on the number of previous course you have taken at UF.

Contact UF Helpdesk <u>http://helpdesk.ufl.edu</u> (352) 392-HELP (4357) if you have any trouble accessing your course.

Course Overview

A company's brand outsells its competitors when consumers judge it to be the best value in the marketplace. However, choosing which qualities of a brand are most important to consumer perceptions of value can be challenging. How do you identify which aspect of your product to emphasize? Which part of your offer motivates your customer the most? These questions get to the heart of marketing strategy. At the center of these questions is the <u>value proposition</u> — why would someone say yes to you rather than anyone else? Answering this question correctly is the most important aspect of successful marketing.

This course addresses the critical concepts and theories of value proposition. It covers development, measuring, testing and expressing a value proposition throughout a company and its marketing. The student will learn how to position his or her offer to achieve sustainable competitive advantage, no matter what product or service they are marketing.

UF FLORIDA

Course Objectives

At the end of this course, students will be able to:

- Explain the value proposition framework and its key elements
- Describe the historical evolution of the concept
- Analyze the intrinsic and extrinsic value present in customer choice and motivation to distinguish between the value and cost dyad within every purchase decision
- Articulate the roles and relationships among the four derivative value propositions, the brand, and the personal value proposition within an organization
- Identify effectively crafted value propositions, as expressed in the short, long, narrative and graphic Forms
- Measure the force of a company's value proposition in terms of Appeal, Exclusivity, Credibility and Clarity to assess the potential effectiveness of a company's messaging
- Formulate recommendations to intensify the force of a company's value proposition and increase the continuity or congruence of its expression
- Synthesize a primary value proposition argument and its key evidentials
- Compose a short-form value proposition argument from either a Long, Narrative or Graphic Form

<u>Required Texts</u>

- Lanning, Michael J. Delivering Profitable Value: A revolutionary framework to accelerate growth, generate wealth and rediscover the heart of business. Basic Books, 1998.
- McGlaughlin, Flint. *The Marketer as Philosopher: 40 brief reflections on the power of your value proposition*, 2014.
- Reeves, Rosser. *Reality in Advertising*. New York: Knopf, 1961.
- Starch, Daniel. Advertising: Its Principles. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1929.

Supplemental Texts

- Hopkins, Claude. Scientific Advertising. New Line Publishing, 1923
- McQueen, Josh. *Building Brand Trust: Discovering the advertising insights behind great brands*. Xlibris Corporation, 2012.
- Neumeier, Marty. *The Brand Flip: Why customers now run companies and how to profit from it (Voices That Matter).* New Riders, 2015.
- Neumeier, Marty. *The Brand Gap: How to Bridge the Distance Between Business Strategy and Design*. New Riders, 2015.
- Osterwalder, Alexander. Value Proposition Design: How to Create Products and Services Customer Want. Wiley, 2014.



Course Philosophy and Expectations

Mastery in this class requires preparation, passion and professionalism. Students are expected, within the requirements allowed by university policy, to attend class, be on time and meet all deadlines. Work assigned should be completed as directed. Full participation in online discussions and activities is required.

My role as the instructor is to identify critical issues related to the course, direct students to and teach relevant information, assign appropriate learning activities, create opportunities for assessing student performance, and communicate the outcomes of such assessments in a timely, informative and professional way. Feedback is essential for students to have confidence that they have mastered the material — and for me to determine that students are meeting all course requirements.

At all times, it is expected that students will welcome and respond professionally to assessment feedback, treat fellow students, instructors and assistants with respect, and contribute to the success of the class to the best of their abilities.

Ownership Education:

As graduate students, you are not passive participants in this course. This class allows you to not only take ownership of your educational experience, but to also provide your expertise and knowledge in helping your fellow classmates. The Canvas shell will have an open Q&A thread where you should pose questions to your classmates when questions relating to an assignment or an issue come up at work. Your classmates, along with your instructor, will be able to respond to these questions and provide feedback. This also allows everyone to gain the same knowledge in one location rather than the instructor responding back to just one student, which limits the rest of the class from gaining this knowledge.

Course Specific Policies

Attendance Policy:

Requirements for class attendance, exams, assignments and other work in this course are consistent with university policies unless specifically stated within this syllabus. These university policies can be found in the online catalog at: <u>https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/attendance.aspx</u>

Due to the delivery mechanism of this course, through an online asynchronously manner, attendance in the form of calling roll will not occur. However, students are



expected to sign onto the course site at least once each day, Monday - Friday to check for course updates in the announcements and discussion sections of the site.

Coursework Submission Policy:

Students are expected to submit all coursework through the Canvas Learning Management System unless otherwise approved in advanced by the instructor.

Late Work Policy:

Students are expected to complete assignments by the day and time they are scheduled. The following penalties will be applied to all work that is late for any reason — other than those identified by the university policies, which can be found online at: <u>https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/attendance.aspx</u>

| Point Deduction | Duration of Lateness |
|------------------------|--|
| 5 Points | Less than an hour |
| 10 Points | Greater than one (1) hour but less than 24 hours |
| 15 Points | Greater than 24 hours but less than 48 hours |
| 25 Points | Greater than 48 hours but less than one (1) week |
| 50 Points | Greater than one (1) week but before the end of the semester |

Technical Issue Policy:

From time to time the Canvas E-learning system will undoubtedly experience technical issues. However, in most instances, technical issues when uploading work for a grade will not constitute a valid excuse to submit work late without penalty.

Students are expected to compensate for technical difficulties by not waiting until the last minute to submit work. Additionally, students are encouraged to submit completed work to the instructor via UF email should they suspect there is a technical issue within the Canvas E-learning system.

Any requests for make-ups due to technical issues MUST be accompanied by the ticket number received from LSS when the problem was reported to them. The ticket number will document the time and date of the problem. You MUST email your instructor within 24 hours of the technical difficulty if you wish to request a make-up.

For issues with technical difficulties for E-learning in Canvas, please contact the UF Help Desk at:

• <u>Learning-support@ufl.edu</u>



- (352) 392-HELP select option 2
- <u>https://lss.at.ufl.edu/help.shtml</u>

Emergency and Extenuating Circumstances Policy:

Students who face emergencies, such as a major personal medical issue, a death in the family, serious illness of a family member or other situations beyond their control should notify their instructor immediately.

Additionally, Students are advised to contact the Dean of Students' Office if they would like more information on the medical withdrawal or drop process: <u>https://www.dso.ufl.edu/care/medical-withdrawal-process/</u>.

Lastly, students MUST inform their academic advisor before dropping a course, whether for medical or non-medical reasons. Your advisor will assist with notifying professors and go over options for how to proceed with classes. Your academic advisor is Tiffany Robbert, and she may be reached at <u>trobbert@jou.ufl.edu</u> or at <u>distancesupport@jou.ulf.edu</u>.

Measurement Breakdown

Students' progress in this course will be evaluated according to the following distribution:

| Item | | Percentage |
|---|-------|------------|
| Lecture Reinforcement Assignments | | 40% |
| Reading Discussion Posts | | 15% |
| Reading Discussion Comments | | 10% |
| Quizzes | | 10% |
| Lead Management System Creation Project (Final Project) | | 25% |
| | Total | 100% |

Grading Scale

| А | (93-100) | В | (83-86) | С | (73-76) | D | (63-66) |
|----|----------|----|---------|----|---------|----|------------|
| A- | (90-92) | B- | (80-82) | C- | (70-72) | D- | (60-62) |
| B+ | (87-89) | C+ | (77-79) | D+ | (67-69) | E | (Below 61) |

Final grades are rounded to the nearest whole number, therefore 92.7 is an "A," but 92.3 is an A-. The university policies concerning this grading scale can be found at: <u>https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/grades.aspx.</u>



Course and Assignment Details

This course is comprised of readings, lectures, online discussions, class assignments, activities and a final research project. Students are expected to watch all lectures and contribute to class discussions by citing examples drawn from professional experience, personal experience and course readings. Additionally, students are expected to complete course assignments, activities and reading discussions. Lastly, a final research project, due at the end of the term, will measure students' mastery of the Conversion Heuristic through the examination of a real-world business case.

Lectures

The instructor will post a lecture video to Canvas for each of the 12 weeks as well as related supplementary videos. For example, one supplementary video will consist of a syllabus review. These videos will vary in length depending on the material but are targeted to take between one hour and 1 ½ hours to complete.

It is the responsibility of the student to watch each of the lecture videos during the week identified in the course schedule. Although it is possible to watch the videos at any time and at any pace, keeping up with the videos week-to-week (per the schedule) is vital. The videos are designed to build off each other as well as correspond with the weekly readings and assignments. Lastly, students should be aware that it will be extremely difficult to complete the Lecture Reinforcement Assignments without first viewing the lecture video.

Lecture Reinforcement Assignments

There is a total of ten (10) Lecture Reinforcement Assignments during the twelve-weeklong semester. These assignments provide students with theoretical and real-world context for applying the material learned from the lectures. Specific details about each assignment are provided within the "Assignments Tab" of Canvas. **The Lecture Reinforcement Assignments are due at 11:59 p.m. EST on the Saturday of the week assigned.**

Each Lecture Reinforcement Assignment is awarded points according to quality of work and completion:

| | 100-90 Excellent | 89-80 Good | 79-70 Satisfactory | 69-60 Less than Satisfactory | Less than 60 Unsatisfactory |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Analysis | Expertly draws | Competently | Evaluates | Evaluates | Related lectures |
| (30%) | from lectures and outside material using both analysis and | evaluates lectures and outside material to demonstrate a | lectures and outside material to demonstrate a reasonable | lectures and outside material to demonstrate a basic level of | and outside material are presented |



| | aunthosis to | superior level of | loval of analysis | analysis and | without analysis |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | synthesis to | superior level of | level of analysis | analysis and | without analysis |
| | illuminate the | analysis and | and synthesis | synthesis | or synthesis |
| | subject | synthesis | | | |
| Argument | Compelling and | Writing is | Writing falters | Writing is | Argument is |
| (30%) | persuasive | supported by | at times when | haphazard with | unclear, either |
| | argument offered | capable | making a | minimal | through faulty |
| | through superior | argumentation, | compelling | evidence used | conceptualizati |
| | writing and | including | argument, but | to support | on or |
| | conceptualization | conceptualization | the main point | argument | inadequate |
| | | and understanding | is clear and | | framing of |
| | | of topic | supported | | arguments |
| Examination | All questions or | Almost all | Three quarters | Between three | Half or less |
| (20%) | assignment | questions or | of questions or | quarters and | than half of all |
| | components have | assignment | assignment | half of all | questions or |
| | been addressed | components have | components | questions or | assignment |
| | | been addressed | have been | assignment | components |
| | | | addressed | components | have been |
| | | | | have been | addressed |
| | | | | addressed | |
| Sourcing | Demonstrates | Demonstrates | Demonstrates | Minimal | Sourcing of |
| (10%)* | superior sourcing | competent | basic sourcing | sourcing of | lectures and |
| () | of lectures and | sourcing of | of lectures | either lectures | outside material |
| | outside materials | lectures and | and/or outside | or outside | is absent and |
| | that is sufficient | outside materials | materials that is | material, thus | thus insufficient |
| | to substantiate an | that is sufficient | sufficient to | insufficient to | to substantiate |
| | argument | to substantiate an | substantiate an | substantiate an | an argument |
| | urgument | argument | argument | argument | un argument |
| Grammar and | Precise syntax and | Proficient use of | Syntax is clear, | Syntax is at | Syntax is |
| syntax (10%) | superior usage of | syntax, grammar, | and the | times garbled | sometimes |
| syntax (1070) | grammar, | punctuation and | relatively few | and includes | garbled and |
| | punctuation and | spelling that | grammar, | errors in | errors in |
| | spelling | assists in | punctuation or | grammar, | grammar, |
| | spennig | understanding | spelling errors | punctuation and | punctuation and |
| | | overall argument | do not impede | spelling, which | spelling disrupt |
| | | overall argument | understanding | cause some | understanding |
| | | | understanding | | understanding |
| | | | | difficultly in | |
| | | | | understanding | |

* For any assignments that do not require sourcing written material, full credit will be granted for this category

Supplementary Reading Discussions

Readings enhance students' understanding of the specific topics covered during the weekly lectures. Students should complete the readings during the week identified in the course schedule. Assessment of student understanding of the readings is accomplished through discussion boards.

Reading Reaction Post

To cultivate an ongoing dialogue about the supplementary reading material within the course, students will generate between 350-500 words of reaction to the material per week. While these posts can provide a short summary of the readings along with



proper citations, **posts should overwhelmingly be comprised of analysis or insights students have gained from the material**. Reaction posts should cover **ALL** the readings assigned for the week to demonstrate that the student has fully completed the assigned readings. Additionally, students are welcome to link their posts to outside materials such as other readings or life experiences from their personal or profession life. Students are expected to source any materials used in their posts as appropriate.

To achieve full credit, students' Reading Reaction Posts must be posted to Canvas by 11:59 p.m. EST on the Monday of the week assigned.

| | 100-90 Excellent | 89-90 Good | 79-70 Satisfactory | 69-60 Less than Satisfactory | Less than 60 Unsatisfactory |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Analysis (20%) | Superior evaluation of readings and provides an insightful assessment of topics covered | Advanced evaluation of readings and provides an insightful assessment of topics covered | Competent evaluation of readings and provides a sufficient assessment of topics covered | Minimal evaluation of readings and provides limited assessment of content | Little evaluation of readings other than brief mentions |
| Argument (20%) | Compelling and persuasive argument offered through superior use of both internal and external materials and experiences | Argument is accurately supported by internal material and generally supported by relevant outside materials and experiences | Argument is accurately supported by internal material but falters due to a lack of outside materials or experiences | Weak argument is made due to a haphazard and minimal use of internal and external materials or experiences | Argument is poorly made due to the lack of any materials or experiences |
| Sourcing (10%) | Superior sourcing of internal and external material that supports the discussion's main arguments | Adequate sourcing of internal and external material and that supports the discussion's main arguments | Adequate sourcing internal material that supports the discussion's main arguments | Haphazard sourcing of material that supports the discussion's main argument | Sourcing of internal and external material is absent and thus insufficient to sustain an argument |
| Grammar and syntax (10%) | Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spelling | Proficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation and spelling that assists in understanding overall argument | Syntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation and spelling errors do not impede understanding | Syntax is at times garbled and includes errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling which present some | Syntax is sometimes garbled and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling disrupt understanding |

Each Reading Discussion Post is awarded points according to quality of effort and level of completion:



| | | | | difficultly in understanding | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Examination (20%) | All assigned readings have been addressed | Almost all assigned readings have been addressed | Three-quarters of assigned readings have been addressed | Between three- quarters and half of all assigned readings have been addressed | Half or less than half of all assigned readings have been addressed |
| Length (20%) | Post is between 450 and 500 words | Post is between 400 and 449 words | Post is between 350 and 399 words | Post is between 250 and 299 words | Post is less than 250 |

Reading Discussion Comment Post

In addition to their own Reading Reaction Posts, students will be required to comment on the posts submitted by other students. These Discussion Comment Posts must be at least 100 words in length and must be submitted into at least two (2) Reading Discussion Posts made by fellow students or the instructor each week.

Students are always welcome to submit more than two (2) Comment Posts each week, however, no more than two (2) comments will be counted toward a student's grade in any single week.

Comments must add something of value to the conversation thread (be thoughtprovoking). The instructor will evaluate the degree to which students' posts add to the conversation instead of simply being done to fulfill the grade requirement.

Discussion Comment Posts must appear within the other students' reading discussion post in Canvas by 11:59 p.m. EST on the Wednesday of the week assigned.

Lastly, students will monitor the Discussion Comment Posts added to their original Reaction Posts and will reply to the first two (2) people to comment, should another student or the instructor respond to their Reaction Post.



These processes will enable students to learn, not only from the instructor and the course material, but also from each other. To achieve full credit, students' replies must be posted in Canvas by 11:59 p.m. EST on the Friday of the week assigned.

| | 100-90 Excellent | 89-90 Good | 79-70 Satisfactory | 69-60 Less than Satisfactory | Less than 60 Unsatisfactory |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Argument & Supportive Analysis (40%) | Compelling and persuasive response offered through superior writing and conceptualization of topic in agreement or disagreement to reactions posted | Writing falters at times in making a compelling response to the reactions posted, but the main point is clear and supported | Response provides minimal response to reactions posted or simply signals agreement without further support | Response is haphazardly written, lacking an evaluative response of the reactions posted; neither indicates agreement or disagreement | Response is unclear, either through faulty conceptualization or inadequate framing of arguments and does not address reactions posted |
| Sourcing (10%) | Superior sourcing of internal and external material that supports the discussion's main arguments | Adequate sourcing of internal and external material and that supports the discussion's main arguments | Adequate sourcing internal material that supports the discussion's main arguments | Haphazard sourcing of material that supports the discussion's main argument | Sourcing of internal and external material is absent and thus insufficient to sustain an argument |
| Grammar and syntax (10%) | Precise syntax and superior use of grammar, punctuation and spelling | Proficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation and spelling to that assists in understanding overall argument | Syntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation and spelling errors do not impede understanding | Syntax is at times garbled and includes errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling, which causes some difficultly in understanding | Syntax is sometimes garbled and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling disrupt understanding |
| Examination (20%) | Two (2) 100-word comment posts submitted | Two (2) comment posts submitted, one of which was less than 100 words in length | Two (2) comment posts submitted, both of which were less than 100 words in length | One (1) 100- word comment post submitted | One (1) comment post submitted that was less than 100 words in length |

<u>Reading Discussion Comments are awarded points according to quality of effort and</u> <u>level of completion:</u>



| Response | At least one (1) | At least one (1) | At least one (1) | At least one (1) | At least one (1) |
|-------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Posts (20%) | reply has been made to every individual who submitted a Comment Post | reply has been made to three- quarters of the individuals who submitted a Comment Post | reply has been made to between three- quarters and half of the individuals who submitted a Comment Post | reply has been made to less than half of the individuals who submitted a Comment Post | reply has been made to one individual who submitted a Comment Post |

Quizzes

Twice during the semester, students must complete quizzes intended to measure their ability to retain key concepts from the course material. These quizzes must be completed in Canvas during the dates open. See the course schedule for due dates.

Value Proposition Analysis and Critique Research Paper (Final Project)

Students will demonstrate their mastery of the value proposition framework by critically analyzing the value proposition of either a company at which they are presently employed, one at which they wish to work in the future or a nonprofit (401c3) organization*. Students will further demonstrate mastery of this framework by generating recommendations as to how to intensify their chosen company's value proposition. Students work will be compiled into a 10-20 page research paper. The research paper is to be submitted to Canvas by 5 p.m. EST the Friday of **week 11**.

Research Paper

Students will divide their research paper into two sections: 1) a determination and subsequent analysis of the organizations existing value proposition(s) and (2) detailed recommendations explaining how the organization can intensify the expression of their value proposition(s). For the purpose of this assignment, students can evaluate the organization's website, marketing materials and any other pertinent information they may find. The research paper should be completed using 12 pt. Times New Roman Font, double-spaced, with a one-inch margin. Students should cite their work as appropriate following either APA or MLA format.

Part 1: Value Proposition Analysis (Current State)

Students will identify and analyze:

- Areas of company-centric and customer-centric language in the organization's messaging
- The organization's primary value proposition as well as at least one (1) derivative value proposition
- At least two (2) examples of the organization's value proposition as expressed in either the Short, Long, Narrative or Image form
- At least five (5) locations where the organization is missing its primary or derivative value propositions
- Examples of value proposition messaging from at least two (2) major competitors of the organization



Part 2: Value Proposition Intensification (Future State)

Students will:

- Make at least then (10) specific recommendations as to how your organization can use the force elements (Appeal, Exclusivity, Credibility and Clarity) to intensify its primary and/or derivative value propositions.
- Use the five-step framework (worksheet provided) to re-craft the organization's primary value proposition statement and evidentials.
- Use the five-step framework (worksheet provided) to re-craft at least one of the organization's derivative value proposition statements and evidentials.

Students <u>must</u> submit the following items to Canvas to achieve full credit on the assignment:

- 1. Research Paper
- 2. Copy of any collateral used in this project
- 3. Value Proposition worksheets

*Note: Students may not use a company that exclusively leverages a go-to-market strategy consistent with that of a "low-cost-provider."



The Value Proposition Analysis and Critique are awarded points according to the following scale:

| Company-centric and customer- centric languageSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizingSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizingRecognition, or properly categorizingFails to identi or properly categorizingOrganization's primary and (1) derivative value (5%)Offers superior identifying and categorizing value propositionAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing valueSufficient analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing valueRecognition, or properly categorizing or properly categorizingFails to identi or properly categorizing or properly categorizingForms of value proposition expressionOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionAbove average analysis in analysis of competitor competitor messagingSufficient analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of competitor messagingPropositio | | Excellent (100-90) | Good (89-80) | Satisfactory (79- 70) | Less than Satisfactory (69-60) | Unsatisfactory (less than 60) |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| and customer- centric languageidentifying and identifying and categorizing relevant languagemalysis in identifying and categorizing relevant languageor properly categorizing relevant languageOrganization's prinary and (1) derivative valueOffers superior analysis in | Company-centric | Superior analysis in | Above average | Sufficient | | Fails to identify |
| centric language (5%)categorizing relevant languageidentifying and relevant languagecategorizing relevant languagecategorizing relevant languagecategorizing relevant languagecategorizing relevant languagecategorizing relevant languageFails to identifying and categorizing valuecategorizing relevant languagecategorizing relevant languageFails to identifying and categorizing valuecategorizing relevant analysis in analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionCategorizing relevant analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionRecognition, relevant categorizing or properly categorize val propositionFails to identified relevant categorizing or properly relevant categorizing value proposition expressionsCategorize val relevant analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesCategorize val relevant analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesRecognition, relevant analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesCategorize val relevant analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesCategorizing opportunitiesCategorize relevant identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesCategorize relevant identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesCategorize rele | | | | analysis in | | |
| (5%)relevant languagecategorizing relevant languagecategorizing relevant languageof relevant languageOrganization's primary and (1) derivative value (5%)Offers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionsAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionsSufficient identifying and categorizing value propositionsRecognition, but improper of value propositionsFails to identi or properly categorizing value propositionsForms of value (5%)Offers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value expressionsAbove average value proposition expressionsSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing value proposition expressionsRecognition, of value proposition expressionsFails to identi or properly categorize val proposition expressionsSufficient identifying and categorizing (5)Recognition, of value proposition expressionsFails to identi or properly categorize val proposition expressionsIdentifies less than (5) opportunitiesNo identificat analysis in identifying and categorizing (10+) opportunitiesIncorrect of competitor of competitor messaging messagingIncorrect messagingNo identificat messaging messagingValue proposition messaging relevant intensificationsSuperior analysis in analysis of analysis of analysis in categorizing relevantIncorrect intensificationsNo identificat messaging relevantNo identificat messaging relevantValue propo | centric language | | identifying and | identifying and | | |
| ImageImageImageOrganization's organization'sOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (5%)Above average analysis in identifying and categorizing valueSufficient propositionsRecognition, propositionsFails to identi or properly categorize val propositionsForms of value propositionOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value valueAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionsSufficient identifying and categorizing value categorizing value expressionRecognition, of value propositionsFails to identi or properly categorize val proposition expressionsValue proposition expressionsOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Above average analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Sufficient identifying and categorizing (10%)Recognition expressionsFails to identi or properly categorizing (10%)Competitive value propositionOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)No identificat opportunitiesIdentification of competitor messaging messaging messagingIncorrect intensificationsNo identificat of competitor messaging messagingNo identificat of competitor intensificationsNo identificat of competitor messagingValue proposition recomendationsSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsAbove average analysis of analysis in analysis in categorizing relevant intensificatio | (5%) | | | | | relevant language |
| primary and (1) derivative valueanalysis in identifying and categorizing valueanalysis in identifying and categorizing valueanalysis in identifying and categorizing valueanalysis in categorizing valuebut improper or properly categorizing of value propositionsor propositionsForms of value propositionOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing valueAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value value propositionSufficient categorizing value propositionReconition, or properly categorizing or properly categorizing categorizing or properly categorizing or properly categorizing opportunitiesSufficient identifying and categorizing (10-) opportunitiesReconition, opportunitiesFails to identi or properly categorizing(10%)Offers superior analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Identification analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Incorrect identifying and categorizing or properly categorizing or properly or properly or properly categorizeValue proposition recommendationsSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Above average analysis in identifyi | · / | 0.0 | | | language | 0.0 |
| derivative value proposition (S%)idenifying and categorizing value propositionsidenifying and categorizing value propositionsidenifying and categorizing value propositionsidenifying and categorizing propositionscategorizing propositionscategorizing propositioncategorizing propositioncategorizing propositionRecognition propositionFails to identi or propely categorizing value propositionFails to identi or propely categorizing value propositionRecognition propositionFails to identi or propely categorizing value propositionValue proposition opportunitiesOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10+) opportunitiesAbove average analysis of analysis in intensification recommendationsIdentifying and categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing intensification relevant intensificationsIdentifying and categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing relevant intensificationsidentifying and categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing relevant intensificationsidentifying and categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizingIdentifying and categorizing categorizing | Organization's | Offers superior | Above average | Sufficient | Recognition, | Fails to identify |
| proposition (5%)categorizing value propositionscategorizing value propositionscategorizing value propositionsof value propositionsof value propositionsForms of value propositionOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Recognition proposition expressionsDoes not iden analysis in identifying and categorizing (5%)Value proposition opportunities (10%)Offers superior analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizing (5%)Incorrect identification opportunitiesDoes not iden any opportunities opportunitiesValue proposition recommendation recommendationsOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizingSufficient analysis in analysis in intensification recommendationsIncorrect identifying and categorizeNo identification of competitor messaging messagingValue proposition recommendation statements and evidentias (30%)Superior analysis in intensificationAbove average analysis in< | | | analysis in | analysis in | | or properly |
| (5%)propositionsvaluevaluepropositionspropositionsForms of valueOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionRecognition, totalue propositionFails to identi or properly categorizing value propositionValue propositionOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10%)Above average analysis in identifying and identifying and categorizing (10%)Sufficient analysis in identifying and identifying and categorizing (10%)Identifying and identifying and identifying and categorizing (10%)Sufficient analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of intensification recommendations relevantSufficient analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in intensification relevantIncorrect of competitor or competitor competitor competitor categorizing relevantNo identificat or properly categorizing of competitorRe-craft more eridentias (30%)Superior analysis in intensificationsAbove average analysis in intensificationsSuperior analysis in analysis in intensificationsAbove average analysis in intensificationsSuperior analysis intensificationsFails to completion or properly categorizing categorizing relevantSuperior analysis intensificationsRecognition, or properly categorizing or properly categorizing or properly categorizing relevant <td>derivative value</td> <td>identifying and</td> <td>identifying and</td> <td>identifying and</td> <td>categorization,</td> <td>categorize value</td> | derivative value | identifying and | identifying and | identifying and | categorization, | categorize value |
| Porms of value Forms of valueOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionFails to identi or properly categorizing or properly categorizing categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesFails to identi or properly categorizing or properly categorizing opportunitiesValue proposition opportunitiesOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesSufficient analysis of analysis of competitorIdentifies less than (5) opportunitiesDoes not iden analysis of analysis of analysis of competitorValue proposition proposition force intensification recommendations relevantSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis of categorizingSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizingIncorrect or competitor relevantNo identificat or competitor relevant intensificationsValue proposition ffective value proposition ffective value propositionSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizingSufficient categorizingRecognition, relevantFails to denti or competitor or properly intensificationsValue proposition ffective value propositionSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in identifyin | proposition | categorizing value | categorizing | categorizing | of value | propositions |
| Porms of value Forms of valueOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing value propositionFails to identi or properly categorizing or properly categorizing categorizing value propositionSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesFails to identi or properly categorizing or properly categorizing opportunitiesValue proposition opportunitiesOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesSufficient analysis of analysis of competitorIdentifies less than (5) opportunitiesDoes not iden analysis of analysis of analysis of competitorValue proposition proposition force intensification recommendations relevantSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis of categorizingSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizingIncorrect or competitor relevantNo identificat or competitor relevant intensificationsValue proposition ffective value proposition ffective value propositionSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizingSufficient categorizingRecognition, relevantFails to denti or competitor or properly intensificationsValue proposition ffective value propositionSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in identifyin | (5%) | propositions | value | value | propositions | • • |
| proposition expressionanalysis in identifying and categorizing value proposition expressionsanalysis in identifying and categorizing value proposition expressionsanalysis in identifying and categorizing value proposition expressionsor properly categorize val proposition expressionsValue proposition opportunities (10%)Offers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10+) opportunitiesAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9) opportunitiesSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing (5) opportunitiesIdentifies less analysis in analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of competitor competitorDoes not iden analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizing (15%)Incorrect identifying and categorizing (16mtifying and categorizing identifying and categorizing identifying and identifying and categorizing recommendations (15%)No identificat identifying and categorizing identifying and categorizing identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsNo identificat intensificationsRe-craft more erfective value proposition propositionSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in categorizingSufficient t intensificationsRecognition, relevant intensificationsFails to competive relevant intensificationsRe-craft more erfective value propositionSuperior analysis in process for both | | | propositions | propositions | | |
| expression (5%)identifying and categorizing value proposition expressionsidentifying and categorizing value proposition expressionsidentifying and categorizing value proposition expressionscategorizing value proposition expressionscategorizing proposition expressionscategorizing proposition expressionscategorizing value proposition expressionscategorizing expressionscategorizing expressionscategorizing expressionsDoes not iden proposition expressionsValue proposition (10%)Offers superior analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of ecompetitorAbove average analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizing messagingIncorrect messagingNo identificat of competitor messagingNo identification of competitor competitor analysis in identifying and categorizing relevantNo identification of roperition analysis in identifying and categorizing relevantSufficient analysis in intensificationsIncorrect messagingNo identification of competitor relevantNo identification of relevant intensificationsFails to identi of relevant intensifications10%Superior analysis in relevantAbove average categorizing relevantSufficientl analysis in categorizingFails to comp ecompetes the propositionsFails to comp ecompetes the propositionsFails to comp ecompetes the propositionsFails to comp entersifications10%Demonstrates superior sage of syntax is at grammar,< | Forms of value | | Above average | Sufficient | | Fails to identify |
| (5%)categorizing value propositioncategorizing value propositioncategorizing value propositionof value propositionproposition expressionsValue proposition expressionsOffers superior analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in categorizing (10+)Above average analysis in identifying and categorizing (5-9) opportunitiesSufficient analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of competitorIdentifies less than (5) opportunitiesDoes not iden any opportunitiesValue proposition proposition messaging (10%)Suffor superior analysis of analysis of analysis of competitorSufficient analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizing identifying and intensification relevant intensificationsIncorrect identifying and categorizing identifying and categorizing identifying and categorizingSufficient analysis in analysis in intensificationsIncorrect analysis in analysis in intensificationsNo identificat of competitor categorizing relevant intensificationsFails to identi of rolevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in primary and derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficient value propositionsFails to comp elements of the statement and substantiate an argumentSufficient value propositionsSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argument | proposition | analysis in | analysis in | analysis in | but improper | or properly |
| proposition expressionsvalue proposition expressionsvalue proposition expressionsproposition expressionsfails for analysis in analysis of analysis of competitorfor competitorfor competitorfor competitorfor competitorfor competitorfor competitorfor porportunitiesfor competitorfails to identificationfor competitorfor porportunitesfails to identificationsfor porportunitesfails to identificationsfor porportunitesfor porportunitesfor porportunite | expression | identifying and | identifying and | identifying and | categorization, | categorize value |
| expressionsexpressionsexpressionsexpressionsValue propositions opportunitiesOffers superior analysis in identifying and categorizing (10+) opportunitiesAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing (6-9)Sufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing (5)Identifying and categorizing (5)Identifying and categorizing (5)Identifying and categorizing (5)No identificat opportunitiesCompetitive value proposition messaging (10%)Offers superior analysis of analysis of identifying and categorizing (10%)Above average analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in identifying and categorizing identifying and relevantSufficient analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in intensificationsIdentification relevant relevant relevant relevant relevant relevant intensificationsNo identificat of competitor roperly identifying and categorizing categorizing relevant intensificationsRecognition, relevant <td>(5%)</td> <td>categorizing value</td> <td></td> <td>categorizing</td> <td>of value</td> <td>proposition</td> | (5%) | categorizing value | | categorizing | of value | proposition |
| Value propositions opportunitiesOffers superior analysis in identifying and | | proposition | | value proposition | proposition | expressions |
| opportunities (10%)analysis in identifying and categorizing (10+) opportunitiesanalysis in identifying and identifying and categorizing (5-) opportunitiesanalysis in identifying and categorizing (5) opportunitiesthan (5) opportunitiesany opportunitiesCompetitive value proposition messaging (10%)Offers superior analysis of competitor messagingAbove average analysis of competitor messagingSufficient analysis of competitor competitor messagingIncorrect identifying and categorizing categorizingNo identification messagingValue proposition force intensificationSuperior analysis in categorizing relevant intensificationsAbove average categorizing categorizing relevant intensificationsSufficient intensificationsRecognition, relevant intensificationsFails to identification relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in completing the primary and derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently propositionsFails to complet process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently propositionsFails to complet propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of sourcing of substantiate an argumentSourcing of sourcing of substantiate an argume | | expressions | expressions | expressions | expressions | |
| (10%)identifying and categorizing (10+) opportunitiesidentifying and categorizing (5-9) opportunitiesopportunitiesopportunitiesCompetitive value proposition messaging (10%)Offers superior analysis of competitorAbove average analysis of competitorSufficient analysis of competitorIncorrect of competitor of competitor or competitorNo identification of competitor or competitorValue proposition force intensification recommendations (15%)Superior analysis in identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsAbove average analysis in analysis in identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsSuperior analysis in relevant intensificationsNo identificat of competitor or competitor or properly categorizing relevant intensificationsRecognition, of relevant intensificationsFails to identi or properly categorizing relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently propositionsFails to comp elements of the propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are superior sourcing of materials that are superior suse of syntax (10%)Demonstrates superior suse of superior usage of syntax, grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to | Value propositions | Offers superior | Above average | Sufficient | Identifies less | Does not identify |
| Categorizing (10+) opportunitiescategorizing (5) opportunitiesCategorizing (5) opportunitiesCategorizing (5) opportunitiesCompetitive value proposition messaging (10%)Offers superior competitorAbove average analysis of competitorSufficient analysis of competitorIncorrect identificationNo identification of competitor messagingValue proposition force intensificationSuperior analysis in categorizing relevantAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing relevantSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing relevantRecognition, of relevant intensificationsFails to identi or properly categorizing relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition propositionSuperior analysis in process for both derivative process for both derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in completing the process for both derivative propositionsSuperior analysis relevant intensificationsFails to completice some analysis of analysis of analysis of analysis in analysis of analysis in analysis in completing the process for both derivative propositionsFails to comp elements of th elements of th sourcing of material sthat are substantiate an argumentFails to competitor analysis of or only compl or o | opportunities | analysis in | | analysis in | than (5) | any opportunities |
| opportunitiesopportunitiesopportunitiesopportunitiesCompetitive value proposition messaging (10%)Offers superior analysis of analysis of competitorAbove average analysis of competitorSufficient competitor messagingIncorrect identification of competitor messagingNo identificat of competitor messagingValue proposition force identifying and intensificationsSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizingAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizingSufficient categorizing relevantRecognition, categorizing relevantFails to identif intensificationsRe-craft more proposition proposition proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in process for both the primary and derivative propositionsAbove average relevantSufficiently completes the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to completes the propositionsFails to complete some a majority of elements of the step process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to completes the propositionsFails to complete some a majority of propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal argumentSourcing of material shat are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to thatSyntax | (10%) | | | | opportunities | |
| Competitive value proposition messaging (10%)Offers superior analysis of competitor messaging messagingAbove average analysis of competitor messaging messaging messaging messagingSufficient analysis of competitor messaging messaging messagingIncorrect identification of competitor messagingNo identificat of competitor messagingValue proposition force intensification (15%)Superior analysis in identifying and categorizing relevant categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing categorizing relevant intensificationsAbove average analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in completing the process for both the primary and propositionsSuperior analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior analysis of analysis in analysis in analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior analysis of analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in completing the propositionsSuperior analysis of analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in completionSuperior analysis of analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in analysis in propositionsNo identification of competitor material sthat are substantiate an argumentSuperior analysis of <b< td=""><td></td><td>categorizing (10+)</td><td>categorizing (6-9)</td><td>categorizing (5)</td><td></td><td></td></b<> | | categorizing (10+) | categorizing (6-9) | categorizing (5) | | |
| proposition messaging (10%)analysis of competitor messaginganalysis of competitor messaginganalysis of competitor messagingidentification of competitor messagingof competitor messagingValue proposition force intensification recommendations (15%)Superior analysis in identifying and categorizing intensificationsAbove average identifying and categorizing relevantSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizing relevantRecognition, or properly categorizing relevant intensificationsFails to identi or properly categorizing relevantRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in primary and process for both derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently categorizing completes the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completes the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completes the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the propositionsFails to comp categorizing completing the propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an | | opportunities | | opportunities | | |
| messagingcompetitor messagingcompetitor messagingcompetitor messagingof competitor messagingmessagingValue proposition intensification recommendationsSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizing intensificationsAbove average categorizingSufficient identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsSufficient identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsRecognition, relevant intensificationsFails to identi or properly categorize relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in completing the process for both the propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both derivative propositionsSufficiently categorize relevant completing the propositionsFails to completice analysis in completing the process for both derivative propositionsSufficiently completes the propositionsFails to completice a majority of derivative propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentSyntax is at and the relatively few grammar, punctuation and spelling to that assits inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation and spelling to that assits inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or grammar, punctuation and | | | | Sufficient | Incorrect | No identification |
| messagingmessagingmessagingmessagingValue propositionSuperior analysis in identifying and analysis inAbove average analysis inSufficient analysis in identifying and categorizingRecognition, or properly or properly identifying and categorizingFails to identi or properly categorizing(15%)relevant intensificationsrelevant intensificationscategorizing relevantof relevant intensificationsrelevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition etidentials (30%)Superior analysis in promositionAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and propositionsSufficiently relevantFails to elements of the elements of the statements and propositionsFails to complet elements of the propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstratesDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstratesSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSyntax is at argumentSyntax is at argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and substantiate an argumentProficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to thatSyntax is at spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that spelling to that | | | | | | |
| Value proposition force intensification recommendationsSuperior analysis in identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsAbove average analysis in identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsSufficient analysis in categorizing relevant intensificationsRecognition, tothing and categorizing relevant intensificationsFails to identi or properly categorizing of relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary on derivative propositionsSuperior analysis on completing the process for both the primary or derivative propositionsSuperior analysis on completing the process for both the primary or derivative propositionsFails to complete some complete some for both process for both the primary or derivative propositionsFails to complete some the primary or derivative propositionsFails to complete some the primary or derivative propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling to thatSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling to that <td>messaging (10%)</td> <td>competitor</td> <td>competitor</td> <td>competitor</td> <td>of competitor</td> <td>messaging</td> | messaging (10%) | competitor | competitor | competitor | of competitor | messaging |
| force intensification recommendations (15%)identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsanalysis in identifying and categorizing relevant relevant relevant intensificationsanalysis in identifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsbut improper categorizing relevant intensificationsor properly categorizing relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in completing the process for both derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior sourcing of materials that are superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSuperior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling to that assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively spelling errors doSyntax is at spelling errors doSyntax is at spelling errors doSyntax is at spelling errors do | | | | messaging | | |
| intensification recommendations (15%)categorizing relevant intensificationsidentifying and categorizing relevant intensificationsidentifying and categorizing relevant intensificationscategorization, of relevant intensificationscategorize relevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in process for both derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSuperior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complete some a majority of step process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complete some a majority of step process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complete some a majority of step process for both propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentDemonstrates sufficient to substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSyntax is clear and the relatively fow grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling to thatSyntax is clear and the relatively fow grammar, punctuation or grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is ad spelling errors do | Value proposition | | | | | Fails to identify |
| recommendations (15%)relevant intensificationscategorizing relevant intensificationscategorizing relevant intensificationsof relevant intensificationsrelevant intensificationsRe-craft more effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)Superior analysis in completing the process for both the promositionsAbove average completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently completes the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently completes the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complet a majority of elements of the step processSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSyntax is clear and the relatively the wrammar, punctuation, and spelling to that spelling to that spelling to thatSyntax is clear and the relatively and the relatively times garbled and the relatively and the relativelySy | | | | | | |
| (15%)intensificationsrelevant intensificationsrelevant intensificationsintensificationsintensificationsRe-craft more effective value propositionSuperior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently completes the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complete some a majority of elements of the step process for both propositionsFails to complete some a majority of elements of the step process for both propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling to that assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is at grammar, punctuation and spelling to that assists inSyntax is at spelling errors doSyntax is at spelling to that assists in | | | | | | |
| Re-craft more effective value propositionSuperior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently completes the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complete some elements of the step processFails to complete some elements of the step processSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates sourcingDemonstrates sourcingMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentProficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation and spellingSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, spelling to thatSyntax is clear spelling errors doSyntax is at spelling errors do | | | | | | |
| Re-craft more effective value propositionSuperior analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsAbove average analysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsSufficiently completes the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsFails to complete some elements of the step process for both propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spellingProficient use of syntax (10%)Syntax is clear assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation and spelling to thatSyntax is clear assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation and spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spe | (15%) | intensifications | | | intensifications | intensifications |
| effective value proposition statements and evidentials (30%)completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsanalysis in completing the process for both the primary and derivative propositionscompleting the propositionscompleting the process for both the primary and the primary and the primary and the primary and the primary and the primary and the p | | | | | | |
| proposition statements and evidentials (30%)process for both the primary and derivative propositionscompleting the process for both the primary and derivative propositionsprocess for both the primary and derivative propositionselements of the step process for both propositionselements of the step process for both propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentDemonstrates sourcing materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling to that assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is at grammar, punctuation at spelling disrup | | | | | | Fails to complete |
| statements and evidentials (30%)primary and derivative propositionsprocess for both the primary and derivative propositionsthe primary or derivative propositions5-step process for both propositionsstep process for both propositionsSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates sourcingDemonstrates sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates sourcing materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates sourcing materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of material thus argumentSourcing of material thus argumentSourcing of material thus argumentSourcing of material thus argument | | | | | | |
| evidentials (30%)derivative propositionsthe primary and derivative propositionsderivative propositionsfor both propositionsboth propositi or only compl 1 propositionSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient toDemonstrates sourcingDemonstrates sourcingMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and grammar, punctuation and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, spelling to that spelling errors doSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is grammar, grammar, punctuationSyntax is punctuationSyntax is punctuation | | | | | | elements of the 5- |
| propositionsderivative propositionspropositionspropositionsor only compl 1 propositionSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient toDemonstrates competentDemonstrates basic sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argumentSourcing of substantiate an argum | | 1 2 | | | | |
| NotePropositionsNote1 propositionSourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient toDemonstrates competent sourcingDemonstrates basic sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and grammar, punctuation and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, spelling to that spelling errors doSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is grammar, punctuation | evidentials (30%) | | | | | |
| Sourcing (10%)Demonstrates superior sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates competent sourcing materials that are substantiate an argumentDemonstrates competent materials that are substantiate an argumentMinimal sourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentSourcing of materials that are substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and grammar, punctuation and spellingPrecise syntax and spelling to that assists inPrecise to that substantiateSyntax is clear punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is at punctuation | | propositions | | propositions | propositions | v 1 |
| superior sourcing of materials that are sufficient to argumentcompetent sourcingbasic sourcing of materials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentmaterial sthat are sufficient to substantiate an argumentsourcing materials that are substantiate an argumentmaterials that are substantiate an argumentsourcing materials that are substantiate an argumentmaterial is abs and thus insufficient to substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and grammar, punctuatio | a 1 (100) | | 1 1 | | | · · |
| materials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentsourcing materials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentmaterials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentmaterials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentmaterials that are substantiate an argumentmaterials that are substantiate an argumentmaterials that are substantiate an argumentmaterials that are substantiate an argumentmaterial thus insufficient to substantiate an argumentand thus insufficient to substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling to that spelling errors doSyntax is clear and the relatively punctuation or grammar, punctuationSyntax is gard and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling to that assists inSyntax is clear spelling errors doSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is gard and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling disrup | Sourcing (10%) | | | | | |
| sufficient to substantiate an argumentmaterials that are sufficient to substantiate an argumentsufficient to substantiate an argumentinsufficient to substantiate an argumentinsufficient to substantiate an argumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, assists inSyntax is clear syntax is clearSyntax is at times garbled grammar, punctuation and spelling errors doSyntax is clear punctuationSyntax is at grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling errors doSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is garb grammar, punctuation and spelling errors do | | | | | | |
| substantiate an argumentsufficient to substantiate an argumentsubstantiate a | | | | | | |
| argumentsubstantiate an argumentargumentargumentargumentGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling to that spelling errors doSyntax is clear times garbledSyntax is garb and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling errors doSyntax is clear times garbledSyntax is garb and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling errors doSyntax is clear times garbledSyntax is garb and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling errors do | | | | | | |
| argumentargumentorgenGrammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spellingProficient use of syntax is clearSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is at times garbled grammar, punctuation and spelling to that spelling errors doSyntax is at punctuationSyntax is garb and errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling errors do | | | | | | |
| Grammar and syntax (10%)Precise syntax and superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spellingProficient use of syntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling to that assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is at times garbled with errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling to that assists inSyntax is clear and the relatively punctuation or spelling errors doSyntax is at times garbled punctuation and spelling disrup | | argument | | argument | argument | argument |
| syntax (10%)superior usage of grammar, punctuation and spellingsyntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling to that assists inand the relatively few grammar, punctuation or spelling errors dotimes garbled with errors in grammar, punctuation ar spelling to that spelling errors doand the relatively with errors in grammar, punctuation ar spelling to that spelling errors dotimes garbled with errors in grammar, punctuation ar spelling to that spelling errors do | C | Duration (1 | - U | Comtas 1 | Causta i i | Country 111 |
| grammar, punctuation and spellingpunctuation, and spelling to that assists infew grammar, punctuation or spelling errors dogrammar, punctuationgrammar, punctuation at spelling disrup | | | | | | |
| punctuation and spellingspelling to that assists inpunctuation or spelling errors dogrammar, punctuationpunctuation at spelling | syntax (10%) | | | | | |
| spelling assists in spelling errors do punctuation spelling disru | | | · · | | | |
| | | | | | - | |
| understanding not impede and spelling understanding | | spenng | | | | |
| overall argument understanding | | | | | and spelling | understanding |



University Policies

University Policy on Accommodating Students with Disabilities:

Students requesting accommodation for disabilities must first register with the Dean of Students Office (http://www.dso.ufl.edu/drc/). The Dean of Students Office will provide documentation to the student who must then provide this documentation to the instructor when requesting accommodation. You must submit this documentation prior to submitting assignments or taking the quizzes or exams. Accommodations are not retroactive; therefore, students should contact the office as soon as possible in the term for which they are seeking accommodations.

Students with Disabilities who may need accommodations in this class are encouraged to notify the instructor and contact the Disability Resource Center (DRC) so that reasonable accommodations may be implemented. The DRC is located in room 001 in Reid Hall, or you can contact them by phone at 352-392-8565.

University counseling services and mental health services:

Counseling and Wellness resources <u>http://www.counseling.ufl.edu/cwc/Default.aspx</u> 352-392-1575

Netiquette

All members of the class are expected to follow rules of common courtesy along with applicable university policies in all online activities, as these are extensions of the course. The university's Netiquette guide can be found at: <u>http://teach.ufl.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/NetiquetteGuideforOnlineCourses.pdf</u>

Failure to follow these guidelines will result in disciplinary measures, ranging from grade reduction to course expulsion.

Additional Student Resources

Other resources are available at <u>http://www.distance.ufl.edu/getting-help</u> for:

- Counseling and Wellness resources
 - o <u>http://www.counseling.ufl.edu/cwc/Default.aspx</u>
 - o 352-392-1575
- Disability resources
- Resources for handling student concerns and complaints
- Library Help Desk support



Should you have any complaints with your experience in this course, please contact your program director and/or student support coordinator at <u>distancesupport@jou.ufl.edu</u> or visit <u>http://www.distance.ufl.edu/student-complaint-process</u> to submit a complaint.

Course Evaluation:

Students are expected to provide feedback on the quality of instruction in this course based on 10 criteria. These evaluations are conducted online at <u>https://evaluations.ufl.edu.</u>

Evaluations are typically open during the last two or three weeks of the semester, but students will be given specific times when they are open. Summary results of these assessments are available to students at <u>https://evaluations.ufl.edu/results.</u>

University Policy on Academic Misconduct:

Academic honesty and integrity are fundamental values of the University community. Students should be sure that they understand the <u>UF Student Honor Code</u>.

The University of Florida Honor Code was voted on and passed by the Student Body in the Fall 1995 semester. The Honor Code reads as follows:

Preamble: In adopting this Honor Code, the students of the University of Florida recognize that academic honesty and integrity are fundamental values of the University community. Students who enroll at the University commit to holding themselves and their peers to the high standard of honor required by the Honor Code. Any individual who becomes aware of a violation of the Honor Code is bound by honor to take corrective action. A student-run Honor Court and faculty support are crucial to the success of the Honor Code. The quality of a University of Florida education is dependent upon the community acceptance and enforcement of the Honor Code.

The Honor Code: "We, the members of the University of Florida community, pledge to hold ourselves and our peers to the highest standards of honesty and integrity."

On all work submitted for credit by students at the University of Florida, the following pledge is either required or implied:

"On my honor, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid in doing this assignment."



For more information about academic honesty, contact Student Judicial Affairs, P202 Peabody Hall, 352-392-1261.

ACADEMIC HONESTY

All graduate students in the College of Journalism and Communications are expected to conduct themselves with the highest degree of integrity. It is the students' responsibility to ensure that they know and understand the requirements of every assignment. At a minimum, this includes avoiding the following:

Plagiarism: Plagiarism occurs when an individual presents the ideas or expressions of another as his or her own. Students must always credit others' ideas with accurate citations and must use quotation marks and citations when presenting the words of others. A thorough understanding of plagiarism is a precondition for admittance to graduate studies in the college.

Cheating: Cheating occurs when a student circumvents or ignores the rules that govern an academic assignment such as an exam or class paper. It can include using notes (in physical or electronic form) in an exam, submitting the work of another as one's own, or reusing a paper a student has composed for one class in another class. If a student is not sure about the rules that govern an assignment, it is the student's responsibility to ask for clarification from his instructor.

Misrepresenting Research Data: The integrity of data in mass communication research is a paramount issue for advancing knowledge and the credibility of our professions. For this reason, any intentional misrepresentation of data or misrepresentation of the conditions or circumstances of data collection, is considered a violation of academic integrity. Misrepresenting data is a clear violation of the rules and requirements of academic integrity and honesty.

Any violation of the above stated conditions is grounds for immediate dismissal from the program and will result in revocation of the degree if the degree previously has been awarded.

Students are expected to adhere to the University of Florida Code of Conduct.

If you have additional questions, please refer to the Online Graduate Program Student Handbook you received when you were admitted into the program.

UF FLORIDA

Course Schedule

Calendar

| Week | Lecture | Reading Discussion | Reading Comments | Comment Replies | Assignments | Quiz |
|------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Syllabus Introduction | | | | | |
| 1 | Value Proposition and Understanding the Process of Choice | 08/21 | 08/23 | 08/25 | 08/25 | |
| 2 | Origins and Evolution of the concept "Value Proposition" | 08/28 | 08/30 | 09/01 | 09/01 | |
| 3 | The Primary Value Proposition Framework | 09/04 | 09/06 | 09/08 | 09/08 | |
| 4 | The Role of the Value Proposition and Its Derivatives | 09/11 | 09/13 | 09/15 | 09/15 | |
| 5 | Measuring the Force of a Value Proposition — Appeal and Exclusivity | 09/18 | 09/20 | 09/23 | 09/23 | 09/23 |
| 6 | Measuring the Force of a Value Proposition — Credibility and Clarity | 09/25 | 09/27 | 09/29 | 09/29 | |
| 7 | A 5-Step Framework for Crafting an Effective Value Proposition | 10/02 | 10/04 | 10/06 | 10/06 | |
| 8 | Expressing Value Propositions in Long Form, Short Form, Narrative and Visuals | 10/09 | 10/11 | 10/13 | 10/13 | |
| 9 | A Holistic Approach to Expressing a Value Proposition | 10/16 | 10/18 | 10/20 | 10/20 | |
| 10 | Brand: The Aggregate Experience of the Value Proposition — Part 1 | 10/23 | 10/25 | 10/27 | 10/27 | 10/27 |
| 11 | Brand: The Aggregate Experience of the Value Proposition — Part 2 | 10/30 | 11/01 | 11/03 | 11/03 | |
| 12 | The Personal-Level Value Proposition | 11/06 | 11/08 | 11/10 | 11/10 | |

*Activity moved, therefore no comment response posts are required this week.

Weekly Detail

Pre-course: Course Introduction and Syllabus Overview Video

Description

An introduction to the course, instructors and lecturers as well as an overview of what students will learn during the semester.



Pre-course: Course Introduction and Syllabus Overview Video

Description

An introduction to course, instructors and lecturers as well as an overview of what students will learn during the semester.

Week 1: Value Proposition and Understanding the Process of Choice

Learning Outcome(s)

Analyze the intrinsic and extrinsic value present in customer choice and motivation to distinguish between the value and cost dyad within every purchase decision

Lecture Description

This week will be both an overview of the course objectives and an introduction to the concept of a value proposition. We will discuss the power of choice and how it relates to a consumer saying yes.

Readings:

- The Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s) 1-2, 27-31
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) Introduction (p. 1-16)
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 1-3 (p.3-12)

Reinforcement Assignment

Students are to post a short biography about themselves in the Week 1 Discussion Thread. Students are encouraged to include any appropriate personal or professional information that other students would find useful or interesting. Additionally, students may add statements concerning what they hope to accomplish in this course or the effects on their career they anticipate from taking the course. (Note: This is not a graded assignment.)

Week 2: Origins and Evolution of the concept "Value Proposition"

Learning Outcome(s)

Describe the historical evolution of the concept

Lecture Description



This week, we will discuss the origins of the concept of the value proposition, even before the term was coined. We will survey the evolution of the concept in the academic and commercial literature, from usages a century ago to what it means today. We will specifically look at the works of Starch, Hopkins, Reeves, Ogilvy, Porter and Lanning.

Readings

- The Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s) 24
- Advertising: Its Principles (Daniel Starch) Chapter(s) 1-2 (p. 7-17)
- Scientific Advertising (Claude Hopkins) Chapter(s) 1-3, and 10 (PDF p. 2-12)
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 4-6 (p.13-24)
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 1 (p. 18-38)

Reinforcement Assignment

Examine a major purchase decision made in the last year through the perspective of the Value Exchange Fulcrum.

Week 3: The Primary Value Proposition Framework

Learning Outcome(s)

Explain the value proposition framework and its key elements Synthesize a primary value proposition argument and its key evidentials

Lecture Description

This week focuses on the role the value proposition plays as the primary force driving people up the sales funnel. We will learn about the flaws within the current understanding of the marketing funnel, and finally, we will define value proposition and discover why it is important.

Readings

- The Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s) 4-6, 8, 15-16, 21-22, 26
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 7-9 (p.25-36)
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 4 5 (p. 62-79), and 18 (p. 254-259)

Reinforcement Assignment

Use the value proposition framework to craft primary value proposition statements for a provided set of future technologies.



Week 4: The Role of the Value Proposition and Its Derivatives

Learning Outcome(s)

Articulate the roles and relationships among the four derivative value propositions and the brand within an organization

Lecture Description

This week focuses on the various derivatives of the value proposition and when, where and how they are each relevant. We will then discuss how they relate to each other and overlap.

Readings

- *Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s) 11, 18, 19, and 20.*
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 10-12 (*p* 37-45)
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 13-15 (p. 180-215)

Reinforcement Assignment

Explore the effect of derivative value propositions on the perceived value of a given product.

Week 5: Measuring the Force of a Value Proposition — Appeal and Exclusivity

Learning Outcome(s)

Measure the force of a company's value proposition in terms of Appeal, Exclusivity, Credibility and Clarity to assess the potential effectiveness of a company's messaging

Description

This week focuses on the first dyad (Appeal and Exclusivity) that impacts the force of a value proposition. We will define each of the elements, their associated cognitive conclusion and then learn how to influence them.

Readings

- *Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s)*17 and 32
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 13-15 (p. 46-59)



- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 6 (p. 80-88)
- Scientific Advertising (Claude Hopkins) Chapter(s) 11 and 17 (PDF p. 40-42, 62-63)

Reinforcement Assignment

Examine a set of provided value propositions and determine how you could increase their Appeal and Exclusivity.

Week 6: Measuring the Force of a Value Proposition — Clarity and Credibility

Learning Outcome(s)

Measure the force of a company's value proposition in terms of Appeal, Exclusivity, Credibility and Clarity to assess the potential effectiveness of a company's messaging

Description

This week focuses on the second dyad (Clarity and Credibility) that impacts the force of a value proposition. We will define each of the elements, their associated cognitive conclusion, and then learn how to influence them.

Readings

- *Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s)* 9-10, 13-14, 28, and 34
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 16-18 (p.60-66)
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 7 (p. 89-115)
- Scientific Advertising (Claude Hopkins) Chapter(s) 7 (PDF p. 25-27)

Reinforcement Assignment

Explore the force of multiple provided value proposition statements and identify specific changes that could increase the Appeal, Exclusivity, Credibility and Clarity.

Quiz One

Quiz 1 due by Friday at 11:59 p.m. EST.

Week 7: A 5-Step Framework for Crafting an Effective Value Proposition



Learning Outcome(s)

Identify effectively crafted value propositions, as expressed in the short, long, narrative and graphic Forms

Lecture Description

This week students will walk through a methodology for crafting a value proposition. This will be a highly interactive session.

Readings

- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 19-21 (p. 70-83)
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 8 (p. 116-135)

Reinforcement Assignment

Use the 5-step framework to craft a value proposition argument for one of the provided organizations.

Week 8: Expressing Value Propositions in Long Form, Short Form, Narrative and Visuals

Learning Outcome(s)

Identify effectively crafted value propositions, as expressed in the short, long, narrative and graphic Forms Compose a short-form value proposition argument from either a Long, Narrative or Graphic Form

Lecture Description

This week, we will discuss how to take a value proposition and package it in the short form, long form, narrative and visual form.

Readings

- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 12-13 (p. 176-189)
- Scientific Advertising (Claude Hopkins) Chapter(s) 5, 8, and 9 (PDF p. 17-19, 28-34)

Reinforcement Assignment

Select a company of your own choosing and craft a long-form statement for its primary value proposition.



Week 9: A Holistic Approach to Expressing a Value Proposition

Learning Outcome(s)

Formulate recommendations to intensify the force of a company's value proposition and increase the continuity or congruence of its expression

Lecture Description

This week we will discuss how to begin expressing a value proposition in promotional and sales materials. We will start with a website and move to some of the more common digital and traditional channels. Students will learn how to express a value proposition in a holistic way through the principles of continuity and congruence.

Readings

- *Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s) 6, 10, 12 and 25*
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s) 22-27 (p. 84-107)*
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 19 (p. 160-281)
- Scientific Advertising (Claude Hopkins) Chapter(s) 6 (PDF p. 29-24)

Reinforcement Assignment

Examine the concepts of continuity and congruence as seen on the live purchase funnel for Zappos.

Week 10: Brand: The Aggregate Experience of the Value Proposition — Part 1

Learning Outcome(s)

Articulate the roles and relationships among the four derivative value propositions, the brand, and the personal value proposition within an organization

Lecture Description

This week we will examine how value proposition and brand are connected. Students will learn how to leverage a value proposition to create a brand experience that deeply resonates with the customer in such a way that it becomes a brand expectation.



Readings

- *Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s) 23 and 26*
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s) 28-30 (108-123)*
- Building Brand Trust (Josh McQueen) Chapter(s) 5-8 (p. 108-208)
- Delivering Profitable Value Chapter(s) 2-3 (p. 39-61)
- Scientific Advertising (Claude Hopkins) Chapter(s) 20 (PDF p. 69-70)

Reinforcement Assignment

Define what it means to be your brand as a multi-national coffee company.

Quiz Two

Quiz 2 due by Friday at 11:59 p.m. EST.

Week 11: Brand: The Aggregate Experience of the Value Proposition — Part 2

Learning Outcome(s)

Articulate the roles and relationships among the four derivative value propositions, the brand, and the personal value proposition within an organization

Lecture Description

This week we will further explore how value proposition and brand are connected. Students will be able to identify desired resulting experiences associated with brand and appropriate short-hand symbols for a brand that can be implemented across the customer journey.

Readings

- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 31-33 (p. 124-137)
- Building Brand Trust (Josh McQueen) Chapter(s) 9 -12 (p. 209-311)

Final Project

Due Friday at 11:59 p.m. EST.

Week 12: The Personal-Level Value Proposition



Learning Outcome(s)

Articulate the roles and relationships among the four derivative value propositions, the brand, and the personal value proposition within an organization

Lecture Description

This week, students will be exposed to the fifth derivative value proposition: the personal. We will discover the connection between this derivative and the corporate application of value proposition students have learned over the duration of the course.

Readings

- *Marketer as Philosopher Reflection(s)* 39-40
- *Reality in Advertising Chapter(s)* 34-36 (p. 138-151)

Reinforcement Assignment

Create a value proposition statement and evidentials that you could use in your efforts to secure a promotion or new position in your current company.

Disclaimers

The instructor reserves the right to make any modification necessary to this syllabus to enhance the class learning opportunity. Such changes will be communicated via Canvas.

From time to time, students may be required to use tools, programs and websites outside of Canvas to complete course assignments. While students are welcome to use paid versions of these tools, programs and websites, all criteria for assignments will be able to be satisfied using free versions.